Modeling of Diffusion Process in the Isotopic Oxygen Exchange Experiments of $Ce_xZr_{(1-x)}O_2$ Catalysts

Arvaidas GALDIKAS¹*, Nicolas BION², Daniel DUPREZ², Vitalis VIRBICKAS¹, Darius MAŽELIS¹

¹ Physics Department, Kaunas University of Technology, 50 Studentų st. Kaunas, Lithuania
 ² University of Poitiers, CNRS – IC2MP UMR 7285 – 4 rue Michel Brunet 86022 Poitiers, France

crossref http://dx.doi.org/10.5755/j01.ms.19.1.1630

Received 29 April 2012; accepted 05 January 2013

The oxygen mobility processes during the temperature programmed oxygen isotopic exchange is considered by proposed kinetic model. The model includes simple and complex heteroexchange reactions and bulk diffusion processes. The diffusion process is introduced considering monolayers in each separate particle of nanopowder taking into account the decrease of monolayer area with depth inside particle. The model is applied to fit experimental curves of $Ce_xZr_{1-x}O_2$ catalysts with different composition in order to calculate reaction rates and diffusion coefficients, and activation energies of those processes. From the best fit the rates of chemical reactions and diffusion coefficients are found for each composition of catalyst. The dependences of activation energies (reaction and diffusion) and diffusion coefficients versus composition are obtained for $Ce_xZr_{1-x}O_2$ catalysts. Obtained dependencies show nonlinear behavior with passes the maximum values at certain percentage of C (or Zr) in $Ce_xZr_{1-x}O_2$ oxide.

Keywords: kinetics, catalysis, modeling, diffusion, isotopic oxygen exchange.

INTRODUCTION

Cerium oxide is widely used as a promoter in the socalled "three-way catalysts" (TWC) for the elimination of toxic exhaust gases in automobiles [1]. The primary function of ceria in TWC is to provide oxygen storage capacity (OSC) in order to allow the catalyst to operate over a wider range of air/fuel ratios [2]. There are mainly two regimes for the engine operation: (1) fuel rich and (2) fuel lean. As a consequence, the catalyst should be active under both oxidizing and reducing conditions [3]. Oxygen storage capacity is associated with the fast Ce^{4+}/Ce^{3+} redox process and the exceptional ability of ceria to stabilize anionic vacancies [4]. However, the temperature in a closecoupled catalyst converter can rise above 1000 °C and CeO₂ readily sinters at elevated temperatures resulting in catalyst deactivation. The addition of zirconium and formation of Ce-Zr mixed oxides prevents the ceria from sintering [5]. Insertion of ZrO2 into the CeO2 lattice, leading to formation of a CeO2-ZrO2 solid solution, has been shown to dramatically increase both thermal stability and OSC [6]. Indeed, a 10 at.% zirconium substitution in cerium oxide markedly increases the oxygen storage capacity compared to ceria-only systems [7].

The oxygen mobility on the surface and in the bulk of such catalysts plays a major role in the catalytic process. To investigate the oxygen mobility and to better understand the oxygen pathways, oxygen isotopic ¹⁸O–¹⁶O exchange reactions were studied [8, 9]. Adsorption of reactants on the support followed by diffusion of reactive species to the metal sites and vice versa has been proposed to explain support effects in catalysis [10]. From the course of the oxygen exchange reaction, conclusions can be drawn about

the way in which oxygen takes part in the catalytic processes [11]. Isotopic exchange is generally carried out in a recycle, close reactor coupled to a mass spectrometer [12]. The curves of exchange are registered which shows the changes, with time, of the partial pressures of ${}^{16}O_2$, ${}^{16}O^{18}O$ and ${}^{18}O_2$ during the process. The adsorption of a probe molecule at low temperature, and subsequent monitoring of its desorption/reaction characteristics with temperature, is a way to characterize surface properties of catalysts and adsorbents [13, 14]. This is the basis of temperatureprogrammed analysis methods in which, for a linear increase in temperature, the concentration of the reacting/desorbing particles is recorded as a function of temperature [15]. The temperature programmed isotopic exchange method has an important application in the field of catalytic oxidation reactions involving lattice oxygen [16].

In general three types of exchange of dioxygen on oxide catalysts can occur: the homo-exchange between adsorbed atoms, the simple hetero-exchange between a molecule of dioxygen and an atom of the solid and the multiple hetero-exchange between a molecule of dioxygen and two atoms of the solid [17, 18]. Information on the mechanism of exchange may be obtained from the relative evolution of oxygen isotopomers partial pressures at the beginning of reaction. In fact, the formation of isotopomer ¹⁶O¹⁸O as a primary product indicates that exchange process via a simple hetero-exchange mechanism while isotopomer ¹⁶O₂ reveals a multiple exchange mechanism [19].

Very important parameter is oxygen exchange surface of catalyst. The high-surface area samples provide more active oxygen, tight contact condition is also critical to provide more contact probability for active oxygen to transfer from catalyst [20]. Specific surface area plays an important role in oxygen storage/release process for pure ceria since the oxygen storage capacity is attributed mainly

^{*}Corresponding author. Tel.:+370-37-300349, fax: +370-37-456472, E-mail address: *galdikas@ktu.lt* (A. Galdikas)

to the release of surface oxygen. In this work the influence of mass and surface of catalyst is analyzed.

In the presented work the main attention is done on bulk diffusion of oxygen atoms in $Ce_xZr_{(1-x)}O_2$ catalysts during the temperature programmed isotopic oxygen exchange experiments.

EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURE

Cerium-zirconium mixed oxides were supplied by RHODIA and prepared by isomorphous substitution of Ce^{4+} cations with Zr^{4+} cations. The materials studied are noticed $Ce_xZr_{(1-x)}O_2$ where x represents the molar ratio of cerium on zirconium. Three mixed oxides were studied with x = 0.15, 0.5 and 0.63.

Temperature-Programmed Isotopic Exchange (TPIE) experiments were performed in a recycling closed reactor of 60 cm³ coupled to a mass. Around 20 mg of sample were introduced in a U-form reactor and pre-treated from room temperature up to 600 °C under oxygen flow with a temperature ramp rate of 10°C/min and maintained at 600 °C during 1 h. After outgassing at the same temperature during 30 minutes, the sample was cooled down to 300 °C and a pure dose between 53 mbar and 55 mbar ${}^{18}\text{O}_2$ (Isotec, 99.9%) was introduced in the system. The temperature is finally increased from 300 °C to 600 °C with a ramp rate of 2 °C min⁻¹ while the evolutions versus time of the concentrations of ¹⁸O₂, ¹⁸O¹⁶O, ¹⁶O₂ isotopomers were continuously followed by collecting every 3 s the 36, 34 and 32 m/z values respectively. 28 m/z value was also monitored to detect any possible leak in the system. The recycling pump permitted to prevent any problem of gaseous diffusion whereas a thermoregulated valve enabled a constant 10^{-6} mbar pressure in the ionization chamber and a very little decrease of the pressure (around 1 mbar per hour) in the closed system.

KINETIC MODEL

It is assumed in this model that during isotopic oxygen exchange of oxide surface the two mechanisms of exchange take place: simple hetero-exchange and complex (or multiple) heteroexchange [9, 11, 19]. Simple heteroexchange occurs with participation of one oxygen atom from the structure of oxide. In complex hetero-exchange two oxygen atoms participate simultaneously.

In the case of simple hetero-exchange the following reactions can take place:

$${}^{18}O_{g} + {}^{16}O_{g} + {}^{16}O_{16} O_{s} \rightarrow {}^{16}O_{18} O_{g} + {}^{18}O_{16} O_{s}, \text{ prob. 1 }; \tag{1}$$

$${}^{16}O_{g}^{16}O_{g}^{+18}O_{18}^{18}O_{s} \rightarrow {}^{18}O_{16}^{16}O_{g} + {}^{16}O_{18}^{18}O_{s}, \text{ prob. 1 }; \qquad (2)$$

$${}^{16}O^{18}O_{g} + {}^{16}O^{16}O_{s} \rightarrow {}^{16}O^{16}O_{g} + {}^{16}O^{18}O_{s}, \text{ prob. } \frac{1}{2};$$
 (3)

$${}^{16}O^{18}O_{g} + {}^{18}O^{18}O_{s} \rightarrow {}^{18}O^{18}O_{g} + {}^{16}O^{18}O_{s}, \text{ prob. } \frac{1}{2};$$
 (4)

$${}^{18}O^{18}O_{g} + {}^{18}O^{16}O_{s} \rightarrow {}^{16}O^{18}O_{g} + {}^{18}O^{18}O_{s}, \text{ prob. } \frac{1}{2};$$
 (5)

$${}^{16}O^{16}O_{g} + {}^{18}O^{16}O_{s} \rightarrow {}^{18}O^{16}O_{g} + {}^{16}O^{16}O_{s}, \text{ prob } \frac{1}{2};$$
 (6)

$${}^{18}O_{}^{16}O_{g} + {}^{16}O_{1}^{18}O_{s} \rightarrow {}^{16}O_{1}^{16}O_{g} + {}^{18}O_{1}^{18}O_{s}, \text{ prob. } {}^{1/4};$$
(7)

$${}^{16}O^{18}O_{g} + {}^{18}O^{16}O_{s} \rightarrow {}^{18}O^{18}O_{g} + {}^{16}O^{16}O_{s}, \text{ prob } \frac{1}{4}.$$
 (8)

Here indexes g and s means compounds in gas phase and on the surface of oxide.

Considering reactions written in the form above the probabilities to change composition is not equal for all of them. Generally, for each reaction there are four possibilities to exchange atoms. In reaction (1) and (2) all four possibilities give composition change. So, reactions probability to form reaction products is equal to 1. In reactions (3) – (6) there are cases when reaction does not change composition, e.g. if atom ¹⁶O from gas phase exchanges with atom ¹⁶O from the surface it does not change composition and molecules remain the same. So, those reactions probability to form reaction products is 1/2. In the same way considering reactions (7) and (8) the probability for each reaction to occur is 1/4. This aspect is taken into account writing below rate equations of reactions (1) – (8).

For calculations of isotopic composition changes in gas phase and on the surface of oxide the volume concentrations (mol/m³) n_{32} , n_{34} and n_{36} in gas phase and surface concentrations c_{32} , c_{34} and c_{36} (mol/m²) of compounds ${}^{16}O^{16}O$, ${}^{16}O^{18}O$ and ${}^{18}O^{18}O$, respectively are defined [21, 22]. For calculations of those concentrations the mass action law is used:

$$\left(\frac{dn_{32}}{dt}\right)_{s} = k_{s} \left(\frac{1}{4}n_{34}c_{34} - n_{32}c_{36} + \frac{1}{2}n_{34}c_{32} - \frac{1}{2}n_{32}c_{34}\right),$$
(9)
$$\left(\frac{dn_{34}}{dt}\right)_{s} = k_{s} \left(n_{36}c_{32} + n_{32}c_{36} - \frac{1}{2}n_{34}c_{34} - \frac{1}{2}n_{34}c_{32} + \frac{1}{2}n_{34}c_{36} + \frac{1}{2}n_{32}c_{34} + \frac{1}{2}n_{36}c_{34}\right),$$
(10)

$$\left(\frac{dn_{36}}{dt}\right)_{S} = k_{S} \left(\frac{1}{4}n_{34}c_{34} - n_{36}c_{32} + \frac{1}{2}n_{34}c_{36} - \frac{1}{2}n_{36}c_{34}\right), \quad (11)$$

where: k_S is the rate constant for simple hetero-exchange reactions expresses by Arrhenius law:

$$k_S = A_S \exp(-Q_S / RT) , \qquad (12)$$

where A_S and Q_S is pre-exponential term and activation energy of simple hetero-exchange. *R* and *T* is gas constant and temperature, respectively.

The surface concentrations changes are expressed as: V = V

$$\left(\frac{dc_{32}}{dt}\right)_{S} = \frac{V}{S}k_{S}\left(\frac{1}{4}n_{34}c_{34} - n_{36}c_{32} - \frac{1}{2}n_{34}c_{32} + \frac{1}{2}n_{32}c_{34}\right), \quad (13)$$

$$\left(\frac{dc_{34}}{dt}\right)_{S} = \frac{V}{S}k_{S}\left(n_{36}c_{32} + n_{32}c_{36} - \frac{1}{2}n_{34}c_{34} + \frac{1}{2}n_{34}c_{32} + \frac{1}{2}n_{34}c_{36} - \frac{1}{2}n_{32}c_{34} - \frac{1}{2}n_{36}c_{34}\right), \quad (14)$$

$$\left(\frac{dc_{36}}{dt}\right)_{S} = \frac{V}{S}k_{S}\left(\frac{1}{4}n_{34}c_{34} - n_{32}c_{36} - \frac{1}{2}n_{34}c_{36} + \frac{1}{2}n_{36}c_{34}\right), \quad (15)$$

where V and S represent the volume of the reactor and the surface area of catalyst, respectively.

In the case of complex hetero-exchange (with participation of two solid oxygen atoms) the following reactions could occur:

$${}^{18}\text{O}{}^{18}\text{O}_{g} + {}^{16}\text{O}{}^{16}\text{O}_{s} \rightarrow {}^{16}\text{O}{}^{16}\text{O}_{g} + {}^{18}\text{O}{}^{18}\text{O}_{s} ; \qquad (16)$$

$${}^{10}O_{g} + {}^{10}O_{g} + {}^{10}O_{s} \to {}^{10}O_{g} + {}^{10}O_{g} + {}^{10}O_{s} ; \qquad (17)$$

$${}^{10}O_{10}O_{g} + {}^{10}O_{10}O_{g} + {}^{10}O_{10}O_{g} \rightarrow {}^{10}O_{g} + {}^{10}O_{10}O_{g} + {}^{10}O_{10}O_{g} ; \qquad (18)$$

$$^{16}O_{g}^{16}O_{g}^{16} + ^{16}O_{10}^{16}O_{g}^{16} + ^{16}O_{10}^{16}O_{g}^{16} + ^{16}O_{10}^{16}O_{g}^{16}$$
 (19)

$${}^{16}O^{16}O_{g} + {}^{18}O^{16}O_{s} \rightarrow {}^{18}O^{16}O_{g} + {}^{16}O^{16}O_{s} ; \qquad (20)$$

Considering reactions (16)-(21), the gas phase composition changes enable us to written the following rate equations:

$$\left(\frac{dn_{32}}{dt}\right)_{C.} = k_C \left(n_{36}c_{32} + n_{34}c_{32} - n_{32}c_{34} - n_{32}c_{36}\right), \qquad (22)$$

$$\left(\frac{dn_{34}}{dt}\right)_{C.} = k_C \left(n_{32}c_{34} + n_{36}c_{34} - n_{34}c_{32} - n_{34}c_{36}\right), \qquad (23)$$

$$\left(\frac{dn_{36}}{dt}\right)_{C.} = k_C \left(n_{32}c_{36} + n_{34}c_{36} - n_{36}c_{32} - n_{36}c_{34}\right), \qquad (24)$$

where k_C is reaction rate constant of complex heteroexchange expresses by Arrhenius law:

$$k_C = A_C \exp(-Q_C / RT), \qquad (25)$$

where A_C and Q_C is pre-exponential term and activation energy of complex hetero-exchange.

The rate equations for composition change on the surface in this case are following:

$$\left(\frac{dc_i}{dt}\right)_{C_{\cdot}} = -\frac{V}{S} \left(\frac{dn_i}{dt}\right)_{C_{\cdot}}, i = 32, 34, 36.$$
(26)

Considering composition changes of both simple and complex heteroexchage the composition in gas phase is calculated from the following expression:

$$\frac{dn_i}{dt} = \left(\frac{dn_i}{dt}\right)_{S_{\perp}} + \left(\frac{dn_i}{dt}\right)_{C_{\perp}}, i = 32, 34, 36.$$
(27)

In the same way the composition variations on the surface is expressed as:

$$\frac{dc_i}{dt} = \left(\frac{dc_i}{dt}\right)_{S_{-}} + \left(\frac{dc_i}{dt}\right)_{C_{-}}, i = 32, 34, 36.$$
(28)

Process of diffusion is involved following the second Fick's law $\delta c / \delta t = D(\partial^2 c / \partial x^2)$ expressed in finite increments:

$$\left(\frac{dc_{18}^{(k)}}{dt}\right)_{dif} = \left[B^{(k-1)}\left(c_{18}^{(k-1)} - c_{18}^{(k)}\right) - B^{(k)}\left(c_{18}^{(k)} + c_{18}^{(k+1)}\right)\right], \quad (29)$$

where *h* is thickness of one atomic monolayer, $c_{18}^{(K)}$ is concentration of ¹⁸O atoms in *k*-th monolayer, *D* is diffusion coefficient expressed by Arrhenius law:

$$D = A_{dif} \exp(-Q_{dif} / RT) , \qquad (30)$$

where A_{dif} and Q_{dif} is preexponential term and activation energy of diffusion, respectively.

Eq. (29) describes diffusion of ¹⁸O atoms. It is assumed that diffusion of ¹⁸O atoms takes place by replacing ¹⁶O atoms. So, equation for ¹⁶O atoms keeping balance between diffusion fluxes and taking into account eq. (29) is:

$$\left(\frac{dc_{16}^{(k)}}{dt}\right)_{dif} = -\left(\frac{dc_{18}^{(k)}}{dt}\right)_{dif}, \quad k \ge 2$$
(31)

Coefficient $B^{(k)}$ in eq. (29) describes the decrease of layer area going deeper to the center of cubic shape particle of powder catalyst (detail explanation on this is done in ref.[23]). Values of coefficient $B^{(k)}$ are found from relations:

$$B^{(k)} = \begin{cases} \frac{S^{(k+1)}}{S^{(k)}}, & \text{if } (c_i^{(k)} - c_i^{/(k+1)}) \ge 0\\ \frac{S^{(k)}}{S^{(k+1)}}, & \text{if } (c_i^{(k)} - c_i^{/(k+1)}) < 0 \end{cases}$$
(32)

where $S^{(k)}$ are area of *k*-th monolayer obtained for cubic particles form relation:

$$S^{(k)} = S_{OX} \left(1 - 2(k-1)\frac{h}{d_{OX}} \right)^2,$$
(33)

where S_{OX} is total surface of oxide, *h* is thickness of one monolayer and d_{ox} is size of oxide powder particle.

Eqs. (29) and (30) describe diffusion starting from second layer $k \ge 2$. Diffusion for first monolayer is expressed by mass action law because oxygen atoms in first monolayer according above model are formally grouped into species ${}^{16}O^{16}O$, ${}^{16}O^{18}O$ and ${}^{18}O^{18}O$ with surface concentrations c_{32} , c_{36} and c_{34} , respectively and direct use of Fick's law is impossible. Diffusion flux between first and second layer is mathematically described as chemical reaction with reaction rate constant k_d between surface oxygen species and oxygen atoms from second layer:

$${}^{18}O^{18}O_{\rm S} + {}^{16}O_{(k=2)} \to {}^{18}O^{16}O_{\rm S} + {}^{18}O_{(k=2)};$$
(34)
$${}^{18}O^{16}O_{\rm S} + {}^{16}O_{\rm S} + {}^{18}O_{(k=2)};$$
(35)

The rate equations of those reactions take the following form:

$$\left(\frac{dc_{32}}{dt}\right)_{dif} = \frac{D}{h^2} k_d (c_{18}^{(1)} - c_{18}^{(2)}) \left(\frac{1}{2}c_{34} - c_{32}\right) c_{16}^{(2)}, \qquad (37)$$

$$\left(\frac{dc_{34}}{dt}\right)_{dif} = \frac{D}{h^2} k_d (c_{18}^{(1)} - c_{18}^{(2)}) \left(c_{36} + c_{32} - \frac{1}{2}c_{34}\right) c_{16}^{(2)}, \quad (38)$$

$$\left(\frac{dc_{36}}{dt}\right)_{dif} = -\frac{D}{h^2}k_d(c_{18}^{(1)} - c_{18}^{(2)})c_{36}c_{16}^{(2)},\tag{39}$$

where $c_{18}^{(1)}$ is atomic surface concentration of ¹⁸O oxygen atoms on the surface k = 1 which is found from molecular species ¹⁶O¹⁸O and ¹⁸O¹⁸O on the surface by relation:

$$c_{18}^{(1)} = c_{36} + \frac{1}{2}c_{34} \,. \tag{40}$$

For temperature programming exchange the temperature T in eqs. (12) and (25) is time dependant. In experiment considering here temperature was increased linearly, and T(t) is expressed as line function:

$$T(t) = T_0 + bt . ag{41}$$

 T_0 is initial temperature and b the rate temperature increase, t is time (in the experiment considered here $T_0 = 298$ K, b = 2 K/min).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Experimental results of temperature programmed isotopic oxygen exchange are presented in Figs. 1-3 (points). There are dependencies of oxygen species ${}^{16}O_2$, ${}^{16}O^{18}O$ and ${}^{18}O_2$ partial pressures versus temperature. Temperature linearly increases with time with speed 2 °C/min. The experiments are done for Ce_xZ_{1-x}O₂ catalysts at various values of x from 0 to 1. Initially only oxygen ${}^{18}O_2$ gas was introduced into reactor. After exchange start oxygen from gas phase react with oxygen atoms from catalyst oxide and other species ${}^{16}O_2$, ${}^{16}O^{18}O$ are formed by reactions described in eqs. (1–8) and (16–21). As a result pressure of ${}^{18}O_2$ decreases and ${}^{16}O_2$, ${}^{16}O^{18}O$ increases approaching steady state value. From presented results it is seen that exchange starts at around 400 °C temperature. However, it can be seen that exchange starts a little early

(al lower temperature) when concentration of Ce increases. Comparing the Figs. 1 and 3, one can notice that exchange starts at around 350 °C for Ce₆₃Zr₃₇O₂ whereas it begins at 400 °C for the Ce₁₅Zr₈₅O₂. Another important observation is the first isotopomer molecule present in the gas phase after the exchange: for both solids $Ce_{63}Zr_{37}O_2$ and $Ce_{15}Zr_{85}O_2$, it is ¹⁶O₂. It indicated that complex exchange mechanism which is described by eqs. (16-21) prevails for Ce_xZr_{1-x}O₂ catalysts.. From the point of the above presented model the exchange rate of complex exchange k_C is higher that exchange rate of simple exchange k_S : $k_C > k_S$. Value of reaction rate constant according to the Arrhenius law is defined by preexponential term and activation energy, but for the case of $k_C > k_S$ it can be expected that for activation energies of complex and simple heteroexchange the following inequality is valid for Ce_xZr_{1-x}O₂ catalysts: $Q_C < Q_S$. Exact values of reaction rate constants, preexponential terms and activation energies can be found by fitting of experimental results by calculated curves using above presented model.



Fig. 1. Experimental (points) and calculated (lines) dependencies of partial pressures of oxygen species on exchange temperature for catalyst Ce_{0.15}Z_{0.85}O₂: (a) the diffusion is not included in calculations (b) diffusion is included. p32t(e), p32t(e) and p32t(e) are the curves of partial pressures of oxygen species ¹⁶O₂, ¹⁶O¹⁸O and ¹⁸O₂, respectively, where (t) denotes theoretical and (e) experimental curves (the same in Figs. 1 and 2)

Calculated results according to the above presented model are presented in Figs. 1-3 (lines). The first goal was to analyze the influence of diffusion for the oxygen exchange process. Calculated results of the best fit of experimental points in the case when diffusion process in not included into calculations are presented in Figs. 1-3, a. In Figs. 1-3, b, there are results of the same calculation but with diffusion process included. Comparing figures (a) and (b) for all catalyst it is seen that the including diffusion process into calculations gives much better fit of the experimental results. From this it can be concluded that diffusion process is important for all composition catalysts from 15% to 63% of Ce. The coefficient of diffusion depends on percentage Ce (or Zr) in Ce_xZ_{1-x}O₂ catalyst. The values of preexponential term and activation energies of diffusion found from the best fit of experimental points A_{dif} , and Q_{dif} are presented in Table 1 together with the same values of reaction rates. From those values diffusion coefficients calculated at temperature 500 °C are:



Fig. 2. Experimental (points) and calculated (lines) dependencies of partial pressures of oxygen species on exchange temperature for catalyst $Ce_{0,5}Z_{0,5}O_2$: (a) the diffusion is not included in calculations (b) diffusion is included



Fig. 3. Experimental (points) and calculated (lines) dependencies of partial pressures of oxygen species on exchange temperature for catalyst $Ce_{0.63}Z_{0.37}O_2$: (a) the diffusion is not included in calculations (b) diffusion is included

 $D_{0.15} = 8.4 \cdot 10^{-21} \text{ m}^2/\text{s}$ for $Ce_{0.15}Z_{0.85}O_2$; $D_{0.5} = 2.8 \cdot 10^{-21} \text{m}^2/\text{s}$ for $Ce_{0.63}Z_{0.5}O_2$ and $D_{0.63} = 2.7 \cdot 10^{-21} \text{m}^2/\text{s}$ for $Ce_{0.63}Z_{0.37}O_2$. These results show that diffusion coefficient decreases with increase of content of Ce until around 50 %, and then remains almost the same. Obtained values of diffusion coefficients are in good agreement with results of other authors: it was noted in ref. [24] that at temperature $300 \,^{\circ}\text{C}$ diffusion coefficient of oxygen in $Ce_{15}Z_{85}O_2$ is $D = 53 \cdot 10^{-23} \text{m}^2 \text{s}^{-1}$ and in ref. [25] it was found $D = 1.6 \cdot 10^{-18} \text{m}^2 \text{s}^{-1}$ for the same catalyst at temperature $850 \,^{\circ}\text{C}$.



Fig. 4. Arrhenius plots of diffusion coefficients obtained from fitting of experimental results for different content of Ce in $Ce_xZ_{1-x}O_2$ catalysts

Table 1. The values of preexponential term and activation energies of complex Exchange (C), simple Exchange (S) and diffusion (dif) found form the best fit of experimental results for $Ce_xZ_{1-x}O_2$ catalysts at different content of Ce (values x)

Ce content x	$A_{C,} m^2/s$	$A_{S,} m^2/s$	$A_{dif,} m^2/s$
0.15	$3 \cdot 10^{-11}$	$6.8 \cdot 10^{-10}$	$2 \cdot 10^{-17}$
0.50	$8 \cdot 10^{-12}$	$6 \cdot 10^{-11}$	$7 \cdot 10^{-17}$
0.63	$5 \cdot 10^{-11}$	$5.4 \cdot 10^{-10}$	$3 \cdot 10^{-17}$
Ce content x	Q _C , kJ/mol	Q _S , kJ/mol	Q _{dif} , kJ/mol
Ce content x 0.15	Q _C , kJ/mol 147	Q _s , kJ/mol 177	Q _{dif} , kJ/mol 50
Ce content x 0.15 0.50	Q _C , kJ/mol 147 143	Q _s , kJ/mol 177 177	Q _{dif} , kJ/mol 50 65

In Fig. 4 there are presented Arrhenius plots of diffusion coefficients obtained from fitting of experimental results for different content of Ce in $\text{Ce}_x Z_{1-x} O_2$ catalysts. The diffusion coefficient for content of cerium 50 % and 60 % is almost the same in all temperature interval. But at lower amount of cerium (higher zirconium) diffusion coefficient is higher. This result is in agreement with OSC (Oxygen Storage Capacity) measurements [19] which show that with that increase of Ce content in $\text{Ce}_x Z_{1-x} O_2$ the OSC increases until 60 % of Ce and the slowly decreases. The change of Ce content changes lattice changes the structure of mixed oxide form cubic (Ce less than 50 %) to tetragonal (Ce more than 50 %) with continuous change of

lattice parameter [26]. The surface concentration of oxygen is also changed with content of Ce from 13.7 atoms nm⁻² for pure CeO₂ till 14.2 atoms nm⁻² [26] for Ce₆₃Z₃₇O₂ indicating that oxygen storage takes place not only surface but also in the bulk of oxide. Participation of bulk oxygen is resulted by bulk diffusion process which is influenced by structural changes at different content of Ce.

CONCLUSIONS

- 1. Considering two exchange mechanisms simple exchange and complex exchange the complex exchange mechanism is dominating for $Ce_xZ_{1-x}O_2$ catalysts.
- 2. Fitting of experimental results by proposed model is much better when process of diffusion is I included into calculations. This indicates that process diffusion is significant during oxygen exchange in $Ce_xZ_{1-x}O_2$ catalysts.
- 3. Diffusion coefficient for $Ce_xZ_{1-x}O_2$ catalysts depends on content of Ce and is highest at around 15 % of Ce.

Acknowledgments

This research was funded by a grants (No. TAPLZ04//2012 and No. MIP118/2011) from the Research Council of Lithuania.

REFERENCES

- 1. **Conesa, J. C.** Computer Modeling of Surfaces and Defects on Cerium Dioxide *Surface Science* 339 1995: p. 337.
- Muraki, H., Zhang, G. Local Electronic and Atomic Structure of Ce³⁺-Containing Fluoride/Oxide Determined by TEM-EELS and First-Principles Calculations *Catalysis Today* 63 2000: p. 337. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0920-5861(00)00477-6
- Henry, C. R. Theoretical Surface Science and Catalysis Calculations and Concepts Surface Science Reports 31 1998: p. 235.
- Rossignol, S., Gerard, F., Duprez, D. Effect of the Preparation Method on the Properties of Zirconia-ceria Materials *Journal of Materials Chemistry* 9 1999: pp. 1615-1620.
- Suhonen, S., Valden, M., Hietikko, M., Laitinen, R., Savimäki, A., Härkönen, M. Effect of Ce-Zr Mixed Oxides on the Chemical State of Rh in Alumina Supported Automotive Exhaust Catalysts Studied by XPS and XRD *Applied Catalysis A: General* 218 2001: p. 151. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0926-860X(01)00636-6
- Vlaic, G., Di Monte, R., Fornasiero, P., Fonda, E., Kaspar, J., Graziani, M. Redox Properties – Local Structure Relationships in the Rh-loaded CeO₂-ZrO₂ Mixed Oxides *Journal of Catalysis* 182 1999: pp. 378–389. http://dx.doi.org/10.1006/jcat.1998.2335
- Nelson, A. E., Schulz, K. H., Surface Chemistry and Microstructural Analysis of Ce_zZr_{1-x}O_{2-y} Model Catalysts Surface *Applied Surface Science* 210 2003: p. 206.
- Duprez, D. Study of Surface Mobility by Isotopic Exchange: Recent Developments and Perspectives *Studies in Surface Science and Catalysis* 112 1997: p. 13. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0167-2991(97)80820-8
- Piccalo, L., Henry, C. R. Molecular Beam Study of the Adsorption and Dissociation of NO on Pd Clusters Supported on MgO(100) Surface Science 452 2000: p. 198.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0039-6028(00)00323-X

- Zhdanov, V. P., Kasemo, B. Simulations of the Reaction Kinetics on Nanometer Supported Catalyst Particles Surface Science Reports 39 2000: p. 25.
- Doornkamp, C., Clement, M., Ponec, V. The Isotopic Exchange Reaction of Oxygen on Metal Oxides *Journal of Catalysis* 182 1999: p. 390.
- Descorme, C., Duprez, D. Oxygen Surface Mobility and Isotopic Exchange on Oxides: Role of the Nature and the Structure of Metal Particles *Applied Catalysis A: General* 202 2000: p. 231. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0926-860X(00)00537-8
- Bassat, J.-M., Petitjean, M., Fouletier, J., Lalanne, C., Caboche, G., Mauvy, F., Grenier, J.-C. Oxygen Isotopic Exchange: A Useful Tool for Characterizing Oxygen Conducting Oxides *Applied Catalysis A: General* 289 2005: p. 84.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.apcata.2005.04.054

- 14. Le Valant, A., Bion, N., Can, F., Duprez, D., Epron, F. Preparation And Characterization Of Bimetallic Rh-Ni/Y2O3-Al2O3 For Hydrogen Production By Raw Bioethanol Steam Reforming: Influence Of The Addition Of Nickel On The Catalyst Performances And Stability *Applied Catalysis B: Environmental* 97 2010: p. 72. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.apcatb.2010.03.025
- Boaro, M., Vicario, M., de Leitenburg, C., Dolcetti, G., Trovarelli, A. The Use of Temperature-programmed and Dynamic/transient Methods in Catalysis: Characterization of Ceria-based, Model Three-way Catalysts Catalysis Today 77 2003: p. 407.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0920-5861(02)00383-8

- Kalenik, Z., Wolf, E. E. Temperature Programmed Isotopic Exchange of Lattice Oxygen During Methane Oxidative Coupling *Catalysis Letters* 11 1991: p. 309.
- Boreskov, G. K. The Catalysis of Isotopic Exchange in Molecular Oxygen *Advances in Catalysis* 15 1964: p. 285.

- Novakova, J. Isotopic Exchange of Oxygen ¹⁸O between the Gaseous Phase and Oxide Catalysts *Catalysis Reviews* 4 1971: p. 77.
- Descorme, C., Madier, Y., Duprez, D. Infrared Study of Oxygen Adsorption and Activation on Cerium–Zirconium Mixed Oxides *Journal of Catalysis* 196 2000: p. 167. http://dx.doi.org/10.1006/jcat.2000.3023
- Liang, Q., Xiaodong Wu, Xiaodi Wu, Weng, D. Role of Surface Area in Oxygen Storage Capacity of Ceria–Zirconia as Soot Combustion Catalyst *Catalysis Letters* 119 2007: p. 265.
- Galdikas, A., Duprez, D., Bion, N., Descorme, C., Junevic, T. Oxygen Isotopic Exchange on Three-way Catalysts: a Dynamic Kinetic Model *Materials Science* (*Medžiagotyra*) 13 (3) 2007: p. 193.
- Galdikas, A., Duprez, D., Descorme, C. A Novel Dynamic Kinetic Model of Oxygen Isotopic Exchange on a Supported Metal Catalyst *Applied Surface Science* 236 (1-4) 2004: p. 342.
- Galdikas, A., Descorme, C., Duprez, D. Surface Diffusion upon Oxygen Isotopic Exchange on Oxide-Supported Metal Nanoclusters *Solid State Ionics* 166 (1-2) 2004: pp. 147-155.
- Dong, F., Suda, A., Tanabe, T., Nagai, Y., Sobukawa, H., Shinjoh, H., Sugiura, M., Descorme, C., Duprez, D., Dynamic Oxygen Mobility and a New Insight into the Role of Zr Atoms in Three-way Catalysts of Pt/CeO₂–ZrO₂ *Catalysis Today* 93–95 2004: p. 827.
- Sadovskaya, E. M., Ivanova, Y. A., Pinaeva, L. G., Grasso, G., Kuznetsova, T. G., Veen, A., Sadykov, V. A., Mirodatos, C. Kinetics of Oxygen Exchange over CeO₂-ZrO₂ Fluorite-Based Catalysts *The Journal of Physical Chemistry A* 111 2007: p. 4498. http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/jp0687706
- 26. Madier, Y., Descorme, C., Le Govic, A. M., Duprez, D. Oxygen Mobility in CeO₂ and Ce_xZr_(1-x)O₂ Compounds: Study by CO Trancient Oxidation and ¹⁸O/¹⁶O Isotopic Exchange *The Journal of Physical Chemistry B* 103 (50) 1999: p. 10999. http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/jp991270a